metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[[diagua{N-[1-(3-pyridyl)ethylidene]-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine}zinc(II)] bis(perchlorate)]

Xiaodan Sun, Xianhua He, Wei Wang, Donghua Miao and **Qiaozhen Sun***

Department of Materials Chemistry, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Key Laboratory of Non-ferrous Metals of the Ministry of Education, Central South University, Changsha 410083, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: rosesunqz@yahoo.com.cn

Received 30 March 2009; accepted 7 April 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.044; wR factor = 0.130; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.1.

In the title compound, $\{[Zn(C_9H_9N_5)_2(H_2O)_2](ClO_4)_2\}_n$, the Zn^{II} ion lies on an inversion center and is coordinated by two triazolyl N atoms and two pyridyl N atoms from four symmetry-related N-1-(3-pyridyl)ethylidene-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine (L) ligands and two O atoms from coordinated water molecules in a slightly distorted octahedral environment. Each L ligand bridges symmetry-related Zn^{II} ions, forming a twodimensional layer with a (4,4) grid. In the crystal structure, intermolecular O-H···O hydrogen bonds connect perchlorate counter-anions to the layers.

Related literature

For the structures of triazole complexes, see: Wang et al. (2006, 2007); Drabent et al. (2003, 2004, 2008); Sun et al. (2009a,b); Yi et al. (2004). For general background information, see: Beckmann & Brooker (2003); Ding et al. (2007); Haasnoot (2000); Klingele & Brooker (2003); Zhai et al. (2006). For the (4,4) topology, see: Batten & Robson (1998).



Experimental

Crystal data

[Zn(C₉H₉N₅)₂(H₂O)₂](ClO₄)₂ $M_r = 674.75$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 7.4929 (9) Å b = 10.0963 (12) Å c = 17.149 (2) Å $\beta = 94.887 \ (2)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.647, \ T_{\max} = 0.697$

Refinement

ł

v S

2

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$	187 parameters
$VR(F^2) = 0.130$	H-atom parameters constrained
K = 1.05	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
266 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

V = 1292.6 (3) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.38 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm

6344 measured reflections

2266 independent reflections

1856 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 1.23 \text{ mm}^-$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.053$

Z = 2

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$\overline{D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}}$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\overline{O1W-H1WA\cdots N2}$	0.85	2.20	2.814 (4)	130
$O1W-H1WB\cdots O4^{i}$	0.85	2.22	2.993 (5)	151
$O1W - H1WB \cdots O3^{i}$	0.85	2.26	3.003 (5)	147

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL, DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999) and OLEX (Dolomanov et al., 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Innovation Program for College Students of Central South University (grant No. 081053308).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH2801).

References

Batten, S. R. & Robson, R. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1460-1494.

- Beckmann, U. & Brooker, S. (2003). Coord. Chem. Rev. 245, 17-29.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (1999). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany
- Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ding, B., Huang, Y. Q., Liu, Y. Y., Shi, W. & Cheng, P. (2007). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 10, 7–10.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Blake, A. J., Champness, N. R. & Schröder, M. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1283-1284.
- Drabent, K., Białońska, A. & Ciunik, Z. (2004). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 7, 224-227
- Drabent, K., Ciunick, Z. & Ozarowshi, A. (2008). Inorg. Chem. 47, 3358-3365. Drabent, K., Ciunik, Z. & Chmielewski, P. J. (2003). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 1548-1554.
- Haasnoot, J. G. (2000). Coord. Chem. Rev. 200, 131-185.
- Klingele, M. H. & Brooker, S. (2003). Coord. Chem. Rev. 241, 119-132.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

- Sun, Q., Zheng, F., Sun, X. & Wang, W. (2009a). Acta Cryst. E65, m124.
 Sun, Q., Zheng, F., Sun, X. & Wang, W. (2009b). Acta Cryst. E65, m283–m284. Wang, Y., Ding, B., Cheng, P., Liao, D.-Z. & Yan, S.-P. (2007). *Inorg. Chem.* 43, 2002–2010.
- Wang, Y., Yi, L., Yang, X., Ding, B., Cheng, P., Liao, D.-Z. & Yan, S.-P. (2006). Inorg. Chem. 45, 5822–5829.
- Yi, L., Ding, B., Zhao, B., Cheng, P., Liao, D.-Z., Yan, S.-P. & Jiang, Z.-H. (2004). Inorg. Chem. 43, 33–43.
 Zhai, Q. G., Wu, X. Y., Chen, S. M., Lu, C. Z. & Yang, W. B. (2006). *Cryst.*
- Growth Des. 6, 2126–2135.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m518-m519 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809013130]

Poly[[diaqua{N-[1-(3-pyridyl)ethylidene]-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine}zinc(II)] bis(perchlorate)]

X. Sun, X. He, W. Wang, D. Miao and Q. Sun

Comment

1,2,4-Triazoles and their derivatives can coordinate with metal ions using two bridging adjacent nitrogen atoms via the 1, 2 or 4-positioned N atoms, exhibiting unique magnetic properties. Recently, a variety of such coordination compounds with various structures and different chemical properties have been reported (Beckmann & Brooker, 2003; Ding *et al.*, 2007; Haasnoot, 2000; Klingele & Brooker, 2003; Zhai *et al.*, 2006). Relatively speaking, the crystal structures of only a few compounds based on 4-amido-1,2,4-triazoles Schiff base ligands have been studied e.g. $[Ag_4(\mu_2-L)_6(CH_3CN)_2]$ (AsF₆)₄.2H₂O [where *L*=4-salicylideneamino- 1,2,4-triazole] (Wang *et al.*, 2006) and a series of one-dimensional linear chain polymers {[Cu(μ -OH)(μ -RPhtrz)] [(H₂O)X]_n (where *R*=Cl, Br; HPhtrz= *N*-[(*E*)-phenylmethylidene-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4- amine]; X=BF₄⁻, NO₃⁻) (Drabent *et al.*, 2008). However, the most common structure type is dimeric with M_2L_4 [where *M*=Cu(I), Ag(I)] in which two ligands coordinate with metal ion in monodentate fashion and two in bidentate mode (Drabent *et al.*, 2003;2004; Wang *et al.*, 2007).

As part of our on-going work (Sun *et al.*, 2009*a*,*b*), we have synthesized *N*-[1-(3-pyridyl)ethylidene]-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine. Unlike above Schiff base ligands containing 1,2,4-triazole, it is a rigid angular multifunctional ligand containing one pyridine and one triazole group, which are both strong coordination donors to metal centers. Therefore, it was expected that the pyridyl N atom would coordinate with metal ions creating a structure with a novel topology. Herein we present the crystal structure of the title two-dimensional layer compound with a (4,4) grid (Batten & Robson, 1998).

The asymmetric unit of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. Each Zn^{II} ion is in a slightly distorted octahedral coordination environment with the equatorial sites occupied by two triazolyl N atoms of symmetry related ligands (*L*) and two symmetry related water molecules. The axial sites are occupied by two pyridyl N atoms from two symmetry related ligands (*L*). Unlike the N1, N2 coordination mode reported previously (Drabent *et al.*, 2003,2004,2008; Sun *et al.*, 2009*a,b*; Wang *et al.*, 2006 and 2007; Yi *et al.*, 2004), each ligand in the title compound bridges two Zn^{II} ions, forming a two-dimensional sheet with a (4, 4) topology (Fig. 2). Fig. 3 shows how ClO_4^- anions and coordinated water molecules occupy the spaces between neighbouring layers.

Experimental

Preparation of ligand *L*: An ethanolic solution (20 ml) of 3-acetylpyridine (1.21 g, 10 mmol) was added to a warm ethanolic solution (10 ml) of 4-amino- 1,2,4-triazole (0.84 g, 10 mmol) and the resulting solution was refluxed for four hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature. Upon standing overnight the resultant pale yellow solid was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum. Yield: 80%. Elemental analyses calcd (%): C, 57.7; H, 4.8; N, 37.4. Found: C, 57.6; H, 4.8; N, 37.4. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO, 298 K): 9.14 (d, 1H), 8.80 (s, 2H), 8.77 (d, 1H), 8.35–8.36 (d, 1H), 7.57–7.59 (m, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H).

Preparation of the title compound: The ligand *L* (0.1 mmol, 0.019 g) and $Zn(ClO_4)_2.6H_2O$ (0.1 mmol, 0.037 g) were mixed in acetonitrile and methanol. After stirring at room temperature for one hour, the colourless solution was filtered and evaporated at room temperature. A few days later the block crystals were obtained. Elemental analyses calcd (%) for $Zn_{0.5}C_9H_{11}ClN_5O_5$: C, 30.8; H, 4.6; N, 20.0. Found: C, 30.7; H, 4.5; N, 20.0. IR (KBr pellets, λ , cm⁻¹): 3384*m*, 3124*m*, 1627*m*, 1588w, 1523*m*, 1477w, 1420w, 1369w, 1291*m*, 1184*m*, 1088vs, 1010*m*, 888w, 826w, 698*m*, 626 s, 489w, 435w.

Refinement

H atoms were placed calculated positions C-H = 0.93-0.96Å; O-H = 0.85Å and included in a riding-model approximation with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,O)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of the title compound showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. Part of the crystal structure showing the (4, 4) topology and the slightly distorted octahedal configuration for Zn^{II} ions.



Fig. 3. Part of the crystal structure viewed perpendicular to the (010) plane to show how ClO_4^- anions and coordinated water ligands occupy the layers. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Poly[[diaqua{N-[1-(3-pyridyl)ethylidene]-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4- amine}zinc(II)] bis(perchlorate)]

Crystal data [Zn(C₉H₉N₅)₂(H₂O)₂](ClO₄)₂ *M_r* = 674.75

 $F_{000} = 688$ $D_x = 1.734 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 7.4929 (9) Å b = 10.0963 (12) Å c = 17.149 (2) Å $\beta = 94.887$ (2)° V = 1292.6 (3) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	2266 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1856 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.053$
T = 293 K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.3^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\min} = 0.647, \ T_{\max} = 0.697$	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
6344 measured reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 18$

Mo Kα radiation

Cell parameters from 2652 reflections

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

 $\theta = 2.4 - 27.8^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 1.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K

Block, colourless

 $0.38 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.130$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0859P)^2 + 0.0827P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2266 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
187 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	Extinction correction: none

methods Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Zn1	0.5000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0318 (2)
N1	0.6739 (4)	0.0235 (3)	0.10252 (15)	0.0313 (6)
N2	0.8545 (4)	0.0414 (3)	0.09414 (16)	0.0388 (7)
N3	0.8080 (3)	0.0549 (3)	0.21762 (14)	0.0295 (6)
N4	0.8448 (4)	0.0976 (3)	0.29546 (14)	0.0339 (6)
N5	0.9165 (4)	0.2865 (3)	0.50082 (15)	0.0346 (6)
C1	0.6489 (4)	0.0320 (3)	0.17647 (18)	0.0311 (7)
H1B	0.5392	0.0237	0.1976	0.037*
C2	0.9296 (4)	0.0616 (4)	0.16374 (18)	0.0375 (8)
H2B	1.0511	0.0784	0.1753	0.045*
C3	0.7815 (4)	0.0301 (3)	0.34965 (19)	0.0305 (7)
C4	0.6845 (5)	-0.0988 (3)	0.3412 (2)	0.0433 (9)
H4B	0.6757	-0.1257	0.2873	0.065*
H4C	0.5665	-0.0889	0.3582	0.065*
H4D	0.7489	-0.1648	0.3726	0.065*
C5	0.8132 (4)	0.0933 (3)	0.42821 (17)	0.0289 (7)
C6	0.7662 (5)	0.0350 (4)	0.4965 (2)	0.0383 (8)
H6A	0.7139	-0.0486	0.4953	0.046*
C7	0.7979 (5)	0.1027 (4)	0.56667 (19)	0.0419 (9)
H7A	0.7705	0.0639	0.6134	0.050*
C8	0.8695 (5)	0.2266 (4)	0.56639 (19)	0.0388 (8)
H8A	0.8870	0.2721	0.6136	0.047*
C9	0.8878 (4)	0.2192 (3)	0.43411 (18)	0.0336 (8)
H9A	0.9199	0.2592	0.3885	0.040*
Cl1	0.65614 (11)	0.77575 (8)	0.69869 (5)	0.0395 (3)
O2	0.6939 (3)	0.9043 (3)	0.73148 (17)	0.0567 (7)
01	0.5062 (4)	0.7213 (3)	0.7307 (2)	0.0798 (11)
O3	0.6227 (7)	0.7890 (4)	0.6170 (2)	0.1085 (14)
O4	0.8049 (5)	0.6906 (3)	0.7127 (3)	0.0937 (12)
O1W	0.7229 (3)	0.0328 (3)	-0.06446 (14)	0.0425 (6)
H1WA	0.8172	0.0228	-0.0338	0.051*
H1WB	0.7353	0.0972	-0.0954	0.051*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U ³³	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Zn1	0.0407 (4)	0.0362 (4)	0.0182 (3)	0.0009 (2)	0.0001 (2)	0.00160 (19)
N1	0.0336 (15)	0.0363 (16)	0.0236 (14)	0.0012 (12)	-0.0006 (11)	-0.0013 (11)
N2	0.0366 (16)	0.0505 (18)	0.0295 (16)	-0.0022 (14)	0.0039 (12)	-0.0054 (13)
N3	0.0340 (14)	0.0331 (15)	0.0205 (13)	-0.0001 (12)	-0.0014 (11)	-0.0046 (11)
N4	0.0432 (16)	0.0377 (16)	0.0202 (14)	-0.0052 (13)	-0.0014 (11)	-0.0075 (11)
N5	0.0452 (17)	0.0345 (16)	0.0235 (14)	-0.0029 (13)	-0.0003 (11)	-0.0017 (11)
C1	0.0358 (18)	0.0342 (18)	0.0231 (17)	0.0003 (14)	0.0018 (14)	-0.0037 (13)
C2	0.0329 (18)	0.049 (2)	0.0310 (19)	-0.0013 (16)	0.0051 (14)	-0.0044 (16)

supplementary materials

C3	0.0302 (17)	0.0324 (18)	0.0281 (17)	0.0047 (14)	-0.0034 (14)	-0.0024 (14)
C4	0.060 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.0332 (19)	-0.0104 (17)	-0.0008 (16)	-0.0012 (15)
C5	0.0290 (16)	0.0318 (18)	0.0255 (16)	0.0025 (13)	0.0006 (12)	0.0020 (13)
C6	0.042 (2)	0.0398 (19)	0.0335 (19)	-0.0050 (16)	0.0045 (15)	0.0020 (15)
C7	0.051 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.0270 (18)	-0.0056 (17)	0.0055 (15)	0.0059 (15)
C8	0.050 (2)	0.044 (2)	0.0222 (17)	-0.0012 (16)	0.0023 (15)	-0.0005 (14)
C9	0.0439 (19)	0.0359 (19)	0.0212 (16)	-0.0003 (15)	0.0035 (13)	0.0014 (13)
Cl1	0.0451 (5)	0.0349 (5)	0.0397 (5)	0.0018 (4)	0.0111 (4)	-0.0036 (3)
O2	0.0545 (16)	0.0439 (16)	0.073 (2)	-0.0038 (13)	0.0105 (14)	-0.0182 (13)
01	0.068 (2)	0.067 (2)	0.110 (3)	-0.0251 (17)	0.043 (2)	-0.0256 (18)
O3	0.196 (4)	0.089 (3)	0.041 (2)	0.001 (3)	0.010 (2)	-0.0046 (18)
O4	0.067 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.161 (4)	0.0276 (17)	0.012 (2)	0.011 (2)
O1W	0.0432 (14)	0.0514 (16)	0.0339 (14)	-0.0006 (12)	0.0092 (11)	0.0095 (11)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Zn1—O1W	2.106 (2)	C3—C5	1.491 (4)
Zn1—O1W ⁱ	2.106 (2)	C4—H4B	0.9600
Zn1—N1 ⁱ	2.111 (3)	C4—H4C	0.9600
Zn1—N1	2.111 (3)	C4—H4D	0.9600
Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱ	2.245 (3)	C5—C6	1.382 (4)
Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.245 (3)	С5—С9	1.389 (5)
N1—C1	1.301 (4)	C6—C7	1.387 (5)
N1—N2	1.385 (4)	С6—Н6А	0.9300
N2—C2	1.292 (4)	C7—C8	1.362 (5)
N3—C1	1.352 (4)	C7—H7A	0.9300
N3—C2	1.354 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9300
N3—N4	1.408 (3)	С9—Н9А	0.9300
N4—C3	1.276 (4)	Cl1—O1	1.404 (3)
N5—C9	1.332 (4)	Cl1—O3	1.409 (4)
N5—C8	1.349 (4)	Cl1—O4	1.412 (3)
N5—Zn1 ^{iv}	2.245 (3)	Cl1—O2	1.433 (3)
C1—H1B	0.9300	O1W—H1WA	0.8500
C2—H2B	0.9300	O1W—H1WB	0.8500
C3—C4	1.491 (5)		
O1W—Zn1—O1W ⁱ	180	N4—C3—C5	112.9 (3)
O1W—Zn1—N1 ⁱ	92.38 (10)	C4—C3—C5	120.0 (3)
O1W ⁱ —Zn1—N1 ⁱ	87.62 (10)	C3—C4—H4B	109.5
O1W—Zn1—N1	87.62 (10)	С3—С4—Н4С	109.5
O1W ⁱ —Zn1—N1	92.38 (10)	H4B—C4—H4C	109.5
N1 ⁱ —Zn1—N1	180	C3—C4—H4D	109.5
O1W—Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱ	94.97 (10)	H4B—C4—H4D	109.5
O1W ⁱ —Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱ	85.03 (10)	H4C—C4—H4D	109.5
N1 ⁱ —Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱ	87.73 (10)	С6—С5—С9	117.2 (3)
N1—Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱ	92.27 (10)	С6—С5—С3	123.4 (3)
O1W—Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	85.03 (10)	C9—C5—C3	119.3 (3)

supplementary materials

O1W ⁱ —Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	94.97 (10)	C5—C6—C7	119.2 (3)
N1 ⁱ —Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	92.27 (10)	С5—С6—Н6А	120.4
N1—Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	87.73 (10)	С7—С6—Н6А	120.4
N5 ⁱⁱ —Zn1—N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	180	C8—C7—C6	119.2 (3)
C1—N1—N2	108.4 (3)	С8—С7—Н7А	120.4
C1—N1—Zn1	133.6 (2)	С6—С7—Н7А	120.4
N2—N1—Zn1	117.9 (2)	N5—C8—C7	123.0 (3)
C2—N2—N1	106.1 (3)	N5—C8—H8A	118.5
C1—N3—C2	105.5 (3)	С7—С8—Н8А	118.5
C1—N3—N4	129.8 (3)	N5—C9—C5	124.3 (3)
C2—N3—N4	122.9 (3)	N5—C9—H9A	117.8
C3—N4—N3	118.2 (3)	С5—С9—Н9А	117.8
C9—N5—C8	116.9 (3)	O1—C11—O3	110.2 (3)
C9—N5—Zn1 ^{iv}	120.6 (2)	O1—C11—O4	110.0 (2)
C8—N5—Zn1 ^{iv}	121.9 (2)	O3—Cl1—O4	107.3 (3)
N1—C1—N3	109.0 (3)	O1—Cl1—O2	109.83 (17)
N1—C1—H1B	125.5	O3—Cl1—O2	108.5 (2)
N3—C1—H1B	125.5	O4—Cl1—O2	111.0 (2)
N2—C2—N3	110.9 (3)	Zn1—O1W—H1WA	108.1
N2—C2—H2B	124.5	Zn1—O1W—H1WB	125.6
N3—C2—H2B	124.5	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	110.4
N4—C3—C4	127.1 (3)		
	1/2 1/2 1/2 (***)		. 1 /0

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y, -z; (ii) x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (iii) -x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+3/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	$D -\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$
O1W—H1WA…N2	0.85	2.20	2.814 (4)	130
O1W—H1WB····O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	2.22	2.993 (5)	151
O1W—H1WB···O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	2.26	3.003 (5)	147
Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x+3/2$, $y-1/2$, $-z+1/2$.				



Fig. 1

Fig. 2





